arXiv:0905.1383v1 [math.RA] 9 May 2009

On simple modules for the restricted Lie superalgebra $gl(m|n)$

Chaowen Zhang
College of Sciences,
China University of Mining and Technology,
Xuzhou 221008, China

Abstract

In this paper, we study the simple modules for the restricted Lie superalgebra $gl(m|n)$. A condition for the simplicity of the induced modules is given, and an analogue of Kac-Weisfeiler theorem is proved.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 17B50; 17B10.

1 Introduction

The notion Lie superalgebras was defined by Kac in [6]. It is a generalization of Lie algebras. Let $\mathbf{F}$ be an algebraically closed field with char $\mathbf{F} = p > 0$. Lie superalgebras over $\mathbf{F}$ were studied in [9] and [10]. Also the notion of restricted Lie superalgebras was given.

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$ be a restricted Lie superalgebra over $\mathbf{F}$. Then the p-characters were introduced for the $\mathfrak{g}$-modules in [16]. It is simply a linear function on the even part $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$. Each simple $\mathfrak{g}$-module has a p-character χ([16]).

The present paper is addressing the following questions:

1. What is the possible maximal dimension of each simple $u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)$-module?

2. How is a simple $u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)$-module related to its simple $u(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}, \chi)$-submodules?

3. In studying a simple $u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)$-module, can its p-character χ, as in the case of classical Lie algebras, be reduced to a nilpotent one?

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 gives the preliminaries. In Section 3, we work on Question 1 and study the condition for the simple $u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)$-module to attain the maximal possible dimension. Section 4 deals with Question 2. We

study the simple modules for $gl(m|n)$ and determine the condition for the *graded baby verma module* to be simple.

In Section 5 we work on Questions 3. We have proved a version of the Kac-Weisfeiler Theorem for $\mathfrak{g} = gl(m|n)$: Each simple $u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)$-module is induced by a simple $u(\mathfrak{l}, \chi) - submodule$, where $\chi_{|\mathfrak{l}}$ is nilpotent. Then we discuss the properties of $Z^{\chi}(l)$ when χ is in the standard Levi form.

After the first submission of the present paper, I received from Weiqiang Wang their independent work on modular representations of Lie superalgebras: *Representations of Lie superalgebras in prime characteristic I*. I would like to thank him for the comments.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Basic definitions

Let $\mathbf{F}$ be an algebraically closed field with char $\mathbf{F} = p > 0$. Assume $\mathbf{Z}_2 = \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z} = \{\overline{0}, \overline{1}\}$. Let $V = V_{\overline{0}} \oplus V_{\overline{1}}$ be a $\mathbf{Z}_2$-graded vector space over $\mathbf{F}$. We denote by $\mathrm{p}(a) = \theta$ the parity of a homogeneous element $a \in V_\theta, \theta \in \mathbf{Z}_2$. We assume throughout that the symbol $\mathrm{p}(x)$ implies that x is $\mathbf{Z}_2$-homogeneous.

A *superalgebra* is a $\mathbf{Z}_2$-graded vector space $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{\overline{0}} \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\overline{1}}$ endowed with an algebra structure such that $\mathcal{A}_\theta \mathcal{A}_\mu \subset \mathcal{A}_{\theta+\mu}$ for all $\theta, \mu \in \mathbf{Z}_2$. A superalgebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}$ over $\mathbf{F}$ is called a Lie superalgebra provided that

(i) $[a, b] = -(-1)^{\mathrm{p}(a)\mathrm{p}(b)}[b, a]$,

(ii) $[a, [b, c]] = [[a, b], c] + (-1)^{\mathrm{p}(a)\mathrm{p}(b)}[b, [a, c]]$,

for $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}} \cup \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}, c \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}$ be a Lie superalgebra. Then the even part $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}$ is a Lie algebra and the odd part $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}$ is a $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}$-module under the adjoint action. Note that in the case char $\mathbf{F} = 2$, a Lie superalgebra is a $\mathbf{Z}_2$-graded Lie algebra. Thus one usually adopts the convention that char $\mathbf{F} = p > 2$ in the modular case.

Let $V = V_{\overline{0}} \oplus V_{\overline{1}}$ be a $\mathbf{Z}_2$-graded vector space, $\dim V_{\overline{0}} = m$, $\dim V_{\overline{1}} = n$. The algebra $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbf{F}}(V)$ becomes an associative superalgebra if one defines

$$\mathrm{End}_{\mathbf{F}}(V)_\theta := \{A \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbf{F}}(V) \mid A(V_\mu) \subset V_{\theta+\mu}, \mu \in \mathbf{Z}_2\}$$

for $\theta \in \mathbf{Z}_2$. On the vector superspace $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbf{F}}(V) = \mathrm{End}_{\mathbf{F}}(V)_{\overline{0}} \oplus \mathrm{End}_{\mathbf{F}}(V)_{\overline{1}}$, we define a new multiplication $[\ ,\ ]$ by

$$[A, B] := AB - (-1)^{\mathrm{p}(A)\mathrm{p}(B)}BA \quad \text{for} \quad A, B \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbf{F}}(V).$$

This superalgebra endowed with the new multiplication is a Lie superalgebra, denoted by

$$gl(V) = gl_{\overline{0}}(V) \oplus gl_{\overline{1}}(V) \text{or} \quad gl(m|n) = gl_{\overline{0}}(m|n) \oplus gl_{\overline{1}}(m|n).$$

For

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \in gl(m|n),$$

define the supertrace:

$$\mathrm{str}(A) = \mathrm{tr}(\alpha) - \mathrm{tr}(\delta).$$

Then

$$sl(m|n) := \{A \in gl(m|n) \mid \mathrm{str}(A) = 0\}$$

is an ideal in $gl(m|n)$ of codimension 1. One can find further information on Lie superalgebras in [6, 7, 12].

A Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}$ is called restricted if $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}$ is a restricted Lie algebra and if $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}$ is a restricted $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}$-module (see [9, 10]). Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}$ be a restricted Lie superalgebra. The p-mapping $[p] : \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}} \to \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}$ is also called the p-mapping of the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Note that $gl(m|n)$ is restricted with the usual p-mapping (p-th power taken in $\mathrm{End}(V)$).

2.2 General properties

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$ be a Lie superalgebra and $V = V_{\bar{0}} \oplus V_{\bar{1}}$ a $\mathbf{Z}_2$-graded vector space. If there is an even homomorphism ρ from $\mathfrak{g}$ to $gl(V)$(by "even" we mean that $\rho(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{i}}) \subseteq gl(V)_{\bar{i}}$, $\bar{i} \in \mathbf{Z}_2$), then we call V a $\mathfrak{g}$-module. A $\mathfrak{g}$-module is called simple if it does not have any proper $\mathbf{Z}_2$-graded submodules.

Lemma 2.1. *[16] (1) Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a restricted Lie superalgebra and M a simple $\mathfrak{g}$-module. Then there is a unique $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}^*$ such that $(x^p - x^{[p]} - \chi(x)^p \cdot 1)M = 0$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}$.*

(2) Let I be a finite dimensional $\mathbf{Z}_2$-graded ideal of a Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and M a simple $\mathfrak{g}$-module. If $\rho(x)$ is nilpotent for all $x \in I$, then $IM = 0$.

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a restricted Lie sueralgebra and $U(\mathfrak{g})$ its universal enveloping algebra. For each $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}^*$, define the χ-reduced enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ by $u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi) = U(\mathfrak{g})/I_\chi$, where I_χ is the $\mathbf{Z}_2$-graded two sided ideal of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by elements $\{x^p - x^{[p]} - \chi(x)^p 1 | x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}\}$. When $\chi = 0$, $u(\mathfrak{g}, 0)$ is called the restricted universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ and simply denoted by $u(\mathfrak{g})$.

Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [p])$ be a restricted Lie superalgebra. Suppose that $u_1, \ldots, u_m$ and $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ are ordered bases of $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}$ respectively. Applying a similar arguments as that for the modular Lie algebra case, we have that $u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)$ has the following PBW-basis:

$$\{v_1^{b(1)} \cdots v_n^{b(n)} u_1^{a(1)} \cdots u_m^{a(m)} \mid 0 \le a(i) \le p-1; b(j) = 0 \text{ or } 1\}.$$

A $\mathfrak{g}$-module M is called having p-character $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}^*$ provided that

$$x^p \cdot m - x^{[p]} \cdot m = \chi(x)^p \; m \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}},\, m \in M.$$

Clearly, $u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)$-modules may be identified with $\mathfrak{g}$-modules having character χ.

Let $\Phi = \Phi^+ \cup \Phi^-$ be the root system of $\mathfrak{g}$. We also write $\Phi = \Phi_0 \cup \Phi_1$, where Φ_0 and Φ_1 are the set of even and odd roots respectively([6]). We denote

$$\mathfrak{N}^+ = \oplus_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{N}^- = \oplus_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{B} = H + \mathfrak{N}^+.$$

As a subalgebra, $\mathfrak{g}$ inherits a natural p-mapping from $gl(m|n)$. We denote

$$\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}) = \{\theta | \theta \text{ is an even automorphism of } \quad \mathfrak{g}, \theta(\mathrm{x}^{[\mathrm{p}]}) = \theta(\mathrm{x})^{[\mathrm{p}]} \quad \text{for any} \quad \mathrm{x} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}\}.$$

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be one of the following classical Lie superalgebras [6, Prop. 2.1.2]:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{g} & gl(m|n) & B(m|n) & C_n \\ \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} & \mathrm{gl}(m) \oplus \mathrm{gl}(n) & \mathrm{B}_m \oplus \mathrm{C}_n & \mathrm{C}_{n-1} \oplus \mathbf{F} \\ (m,n) & (m,n) \neq (1,1) & n \geq 2 & n \geq 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{g} & D(m|n) & F(4) & G(3) \\ \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} & \mathrm{D}_m \oplus \mathrm{C}_n & \mathrm{B}_3 \oplus \mathrm{A}_1 & \mathrm{G}_2 \oplus \mathrm{A}_1 \\ (m,n) & m \geq 4, n \geq 2 & -- & -- \end{pmatrix}$$

By [6, Prop. 2.5.5], we have $\dim \mathfrak{g}_\alpha = 1$ for any $\alpha \in \Phi$. Let

$$\mathfrak{g}'_{\bar{0}} = \begin{cases} C_{n-1}, & \text{if } \mathfrak{g} = C_n \\ \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let G be the subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}'_{\bar{0}})$ such that $Lie(G) = \mathfrak{g}'_{\bar{0}}$. In particular, we take $G = GL(m) \times GL(n)$ for $gl(m|n)$. If $\mathfrak{g} \neq gl(m|n)$, let G be the Chevalley group of $\mathfrak{g}'_{\bar{0}}$. Each element of G can be extended naturally to an even automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$. So we identify G with the subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ consisting of elements extended from those of G. By [5, p.14], our restriction on (m,n) ensures that there is a non-degenerate G-invariant bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}'_{\bar{0}}$ if we assume $p > 3$.

Let $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{5}}{}^*$. For the convenience, we sometimes regard χ as a linear function on $\mathfrak{g}$ by letting $\chi(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}) = 0$.

Lemma 2.2. *[5, p.14] With the assumption on p as above, let $\mathfrak{g}$ be one of the Lie superalgebras listed. Each $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}^*$ is conjugate under G to an element $\chi' \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}^*$ with $\chi'(\mathfrak{N}^+) = 0$.*

Let $\Psi \in G$ such that $\chi^\Psi(\mathfrak{N}^+) = 0$. If $M = M_{\bar{0}} \oplus M_{\bar{1}}$ is a $\mathfrak{g}$-module, we denote by M^Ψ the $\mathfrak{g}$-module having M as its underlying vector space and a new $\mathfrak{g}$-action given by $xm = \Psi(x)m$ for $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $m \in M$, where the action on the right is the given one. Then $M_{\bar{i}}^\Psi = M_{\bar{i}}$, $i = 1, 2$, and M is simple if and only if M^Ψ is. So we may assume $\chi(\mathfrak{N}^+) = 0$ in the following.

For each $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}^*$, let $\lambda \in H^*$ satisfy $\lambda(h)^p - \lambda(h) = \chi^p(h)$ for every $h \in H$. Define $\mathbf{F}v$ to be the one dimensional $u(\mathfrak{B}, \chi)$-module as follows:

$$\mathfrak{N}^+ v = 0, \quad hv = \lambda(h)v \quad \text{for every} \quad h \in H.$$

Denote $Z^\chi(\lambda) =: U(\mathfrak{g}, \chi) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{B},\chi)} \mathbf{F}v$. $Z^\chi(\lambda)$ is called a baby Verma module with character χ. Let $\mathfrak{N}^- = \oplus_{\alpha<0}\mathfrak{g}_\alpha = \mathfrak{N}_{\bar{0}}^- + \mathfrak{N}_{\bar{1}}^-$, where $\mathfrak{N}_{\bar{i}}^- = \oplus_{\alpha<0, a\in\Phi_i}\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, $i = 0, 1$.

Assume $\mathfrak{N}_{\bar{1}}^-$ has a basis $f_1, \ldots, f_k$. Then the PBW theorem shows that

$$Z^\chi(\lambda) = \sum_{1\le i_1<\cdots<i_s\le k} f_{i_1} \cdots f_{i_s} u(\mathfrak{N}_{\bar{0}}^-, \chi)v.$$

We denote

$$Z_{\bar{0}} =: \sum_{s \quad \text{is even}} f_{i_1} \cdots f_{i_s} u(\mathfrak{N}_{\bar{0}}^-, \chi)v, \quad Z_{\bar{1}} =: \sum_{s \quad \text{is odd}} f_{i_1} \cdots f_{i_s} u(\mathfrak{N}_{\bar{0}}^-, \chi)v.$$

Then clearly $Z^\chi(\lambda) = Z_{\bar{0}} \oplus Z_{\bar{1}}$ is a $\mathbf{Z}_2$-graded $u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)$-module.

Definition 2.3. *Let $M = M_{\bar{0}} \oplus M_{\bar{1}}$ be a $u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)$-module. Let H be a maximal torus contained in the Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{B} = H \oplus \mathfrak{N}^+$. For $\lambda \in H^*$, if there is a nonzero $v \in M_{\bar{0}} \cup M_{\bar{1}}$ such that*

$$\mathfrak{N}^+ \cdot v = 0, h \cdot v = \lambda(h)v \quad \textit{for any} \quad h \in H,$$

then we say that v is a maximal vector of highest weight λ.

By [16], any simple $u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)$-module contains at least one maximal vector.

Lemma 2.4. *[16]The following are equivalent:*

(1) M is a simple $u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)$-module.

(2) Any maximal vector $v \in M$ generates M.

Lemma 2.5. *(1)[16] If M is a simple $u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)$-module, then M is the quotient of some $Z^\chi(\lambda)$.*

(2) If χ is semisimple, then $Z^\chi(\lambda)$ has a unique $\mathbf{Z}_2$- graded maximal submodule.

Proof. (2) Let $V \subseteq Z^\chi(\lambda)$ be a proper submodule. Since the maximal vector v generates $Z^\chi(l)$, we have $v \notin V$. Suppose there is f in $u(\mathfrak{N}^-, \chi)\mathfrak{N}^- \subseteq u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)$ such that $v + fv \in V$. Since χ is semisimple, Lemma 2.1 implies that f acts nilpotently. Thus $1 + f$ is invertible in $gl(Z^\chi(l))$. This leads to $v \in V$, a contradiction. So we have $V \subseteq u(\mathfrak{N}^-, \chi)\mathfrak{N}^- v$. It follows that the sum of all the proper $\mathbf{Z}_2$-graded submodules is the unique maximal $\mathbf{Z}_2$-graded submodule of M.

□

Applying a similar arguments as that used in [11, Prop.3], we get

Proposition 2.6. *If χ is semisimple, the any simple $u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)$-module has a unique maximal vector.*

3 The simplicity of $Z^\chi(l)$

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be one of the Lie superalgebras listed in last section. Let $\Phi = \Phi_0 \cup \Phi_1$ be the root system of $\mathfrak{g}$. In this section, we assume χ is semisimple. i.e., $\chi(\mathfrak{g}_\alpha) = 0$ for any $\alpha \in \Phi$.

Let $e_{\alpha_i}(\text{resp., } f_{\alpha_i}), \alpha_i \in \Phi^+$ denote the positive (resp., negative) root vectors. Define a function $\bar{p}$: $\Phi^+ \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ such that

$$\bar{p}(\alpha_i) = \begin{cases} p, & \text{if } \alpha_i \in \Phi_{\bar{0}} \\ 2, & \text{if } \alpha_i \in \Phi_{\bar{1}}. \end{cases}$$

We write $\bar{p}(\alpha_i)$ simply as $\bar{p}$. We abuse the notation $\mathbf{F}_2$ as the subset $\{\bar{0}, \bar{1}\} \subseteq \mathbf{F}_p$.

Assume $|\Phi^+| = n$. Put elements in Φ^+ in the order of ascending heights: $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n$. Let $(i_1, \ldots i_n)$ and $(i_1, \ldots, i_n)^-$, $0 \leq i_k \leq \bar{p} - 1$ denote the product

$$e_{\alpha_1}^{i_1} e_{\alpha_2}^{i_2} \ldots e_{\alpha_n}^{i_n} \quad \text{and} \quad f_{\alpha_1}^{i_1} f_{\alpha_2}^{i_2} \ldots f_{\alpha_n}^{i_n} \in u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)$$

respectively. Then using a similar argument as that for [11, Prop.4], we have

Proposition 3.1. *Any nonzero submodule of $Z^\chi(\lambda)$ contains $(\bar{p} - 1, \ldots, \bar{p} - 1)^- v$.*

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a restricted Lie superalgebra. Consider $U(\mathfrak{g})$ as a $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ -module with the adjoint action, then we get

$$U(\mathfrak{g}) = \oplus_{\lambda \in H^*} U(\mathfrak{g})_\lambda.$$

We have $U(\mathfrak{g})_\lambda U(\mathfrak{g})_\mu \subseteq U(\mathfrak{g})_{\lambda+\mu}$. Then $U(\mathfrak{g})_0$ is a subalgebra of $U(\mathfrak{g})$.

Lemma 3.2. *[2, 7.4.2] Let $L = U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{N}^+ \cap U(\mathfrak{g})_0$. Then*

(1) $L = \mathfrak{N}^- U(\mathfrak{g}) \cap U(\mathfrak{g})_0$ and L is a two-sided ideal of $U(\mathfrak{g})_0$.

(2) $U(\mathfrak{g})_0 = U(H) \oplus L$.

Let π: $U(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)$ be the canonical epimorphism of associative algebras. Then

$$\pi(L) \subseteq \pi(U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{N}^+) = u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)\mathfrak{N}^+.$$

Take a basis of $\mathfrak{g}$:

$$f_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, f_{\alpha_n}, h_1, \ldots, h_s, e_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, e_{\alpha_n},$$

where the positive roots $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ is in the order of descending heights. Then $u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)$ has a PBW basis

$$f_{\alpha_1}^{i_1} \cdots f_{\alpha_n}^{i_n} h_1^{k_1} \cdots h_s^{k_s} e_{\alpha_1}^{j_1} \cdots e_{\alpha_n}^{j_n}, 0 \leq i_l, j_l \leq \bar{p} - 1, 0 \leq k_i \leq p - 1.$$

Since $\chi(\mathfrak{N}^+) = 0$, so that $e_{\alpha_l}^{\bar{p}} = 0$ for $l = 1, \ldots, n$, each nonzero element of $\pi(U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{N}^+)$ is a linear combination of the basis vectors of $u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)$ with $\sum j_l > 0$. Thus we get

$$\pi(L) \cap \pi(U(H)) = \pi(L) \cap u(H, \chi) = 0.$$

It follows from Lemma 3.2(2) that $\pi(U(\mathfrak{g})_0) = u(H,\chi) \oplus \pi(L)$. We call the projection map from $\pi(U(\mathfrak{g})_0)$ to $u(H,\chi)$ with the kernel $\pi(L)$ the Harish-Chandra homomorphism, denoted by γ.

For the maximal vector v which defines $Z^\chi(\lambda)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\bar{p}-1,\ldots,\bar{p}-1)(\bar{p}-1,\ldots,\bar{p}-1)^- v \\ = \gamma(\Pi e_{\alpha_i}^{\bar{p}-1}\Pi f_{\alpha_i}^{\bar{p}-1})v \\ = f(\lambda)v.\end{aligned}$$

Note that the definition of $f(\lambda)$ is dependant on the positive root system Φ^+ and the corresponding Borel subalgebra.

Theorem 3.3. *$Z^\chi(\lambda)$ is simple if and only if $f(\lambda) \neq 0$.*

Proof. Suppose $f(\lambda) \neq 0$. By Proposition 3.1, any nonzero submodule $V \subseteq Z^\chi(l)$ contains the maximal vector v, hence $V = Z^\chi(l)$. So $Z^\chi(l)$ is simple.

Conversely, assume $Z^\chi(\lambda)$ is simple. We see that $w =: (\bar{p}-1,\ldots,\bar{p}-1)^- v$ is a minimal vector in $Z^\chi(\lambda)$. i.e., $f_{\alpha_i} w = 0$ for every $\alpha_i \in \Phi^+$. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{N}^- \oplus H \oplus \mathfrak{N}^+$. Then we get $Z^\chi(\lambda) = u(\mathfrak{N}^+)w$. Since $\dim Z^\chi(\lambda) = 2^{\dim \mathfrak{N}_{\bar{1}}^+} p^{\dim \mathfrak{N}_{\bar{0}}^+}$, the set $\{(i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_n)w | 0 \leq i_j \leq \bar{p}-1, j = 1,\ldots,n\}$ is a basis of $Z^\chi(\lambda)$. Therefore,

$$0 \neq (\bar{p}-1,\ldots,\bar{p}-1)w = (\bar{p}-1,\ldots,\bar{p}-1)(\bar{p}-1,\ldots,\bar{p}-1)^- v = f(\lambda)v.$$

Hence we get $f(l) \neq 0$. □

Fixing a simple root system Δ and the positive root system Φ^+, let $\mathfrak{N}^+ = \oplus_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$. For $\alpha \in \Delta_0$, the refection s_α can be extended to an automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$, also denoted by s_α. We have [4, p.277]

$$s_\alpha = \exp ad e_\alpha \exp ad(-f_\alpha) \exp ad e_\alpha.$$

Assume $p > 3$. Then s_α is well defined in all cases. Each s_α can be naturally extended to an automorphism of the tensor algebra $T(\mathfrak{g})$ such that $s_\alpha(1) = 1$. Let $\mathfrak{I}$ be the two sided ideal of $T(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by

$$\{x \otimes y - (-1)^{\mathrm{p}(x)\mathrm{p}(y)} y \otimes x - [x,y], z^p - z^{[p]} - \chi(z)^p \cdot 1 | x,y \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} \cup \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}, z \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}\}.$$

Then it is clear that s_α stabilizes $\mathfrak{I}$. Hence s_α induces an automorphism of $u(\mathfrak{g},\chi) = U(\mathfrak{g})/\mathfrak{I}$, also denoted by s_α.

The induced action of s_α on H^* is defined as:

$$s_\alpha \cdot \lambda(h) = \lambda(s_\alpha^{-1} h), \text{for} \quad \lambda \in H^*, h \in H.$$

We identify H with $(H^*)^*$ by letting $h(\lambda) = \lambda(h)$ for any $\lambda \in H^*$ and $h \in H$. So $U(H)$ can be identified with the polynomial function ring on H^*. If $\dim H = s$,

regard H^* as the affine variety $\mathbf{F}^s$. Then all weights related to χ makes a closed subset X defined by the relation $l^p - l = \chi$ and $u(H, \chi)$ is the coordinate algebra.

Put elements of Δ in a fixed order: $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_r$. For $\mathfrak{g} \neq gl(m|n)$, we take a basis of H: $h_1 =: h_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, h_r =: h_{\alpha_r}$. For $\mathfrak{g} = gl(m|n)$, fix a h such that $H = \{h_\alpha | \alpha \in \Delta\} \oplus \mathbf{F}h$. We take the basis of H: $h_1 =: h_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, h_r =: h_{\alpha_r}, h_{r+1} = h$. Then we have $X = \{(l_1, \ldots, l_s) | l_i^p - l_i = \chi(h_i)^p\} \subseteq F^s$ and $|X| = p^s$. For our purposes, it is sufficient to work on X instead of H^*.

For $f(h) = f(h_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, h_{\alpha_n}) \in u(H, \chi)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} s_\alpha f(h)(\lambda) &= s_\alpha f(h_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, h_{\alpha_n})(\lambda) \\ &= f(s_\alpha h_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, s_\alpha h_{\alpha_n})(\lambda) \\ &= f(\lambda(s_\alpha h_{\alpha_1}), \ldots, \lambda(s_\alpha h_{\alpha_n})) \\ &= f(h_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, h_{\alpha_n})(s_\alpha^{-1}\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Let v' be the maximal vector defined by the Borel subalgebra $s_\alpha(\mathfrak{B})$ of weight $\lambda \in H^*$ and character χ. i.e., $\mathbf{F}v'$ is a 1-dimensional $u(s_\alpha(\mathfrak{B}), \chi)$-module. Let $f^\alpha(\lambda)$ be the polynomial defined with respect to the new positive root system $s_\alpha(\Phi^+)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} f^\alpha(l)v' &= s_\alpha(e_{\alpha_1}) \ldots s_\alpha(e_{\alpha_n}) s_\alpha(f_{\alpha_1}) \ldots s_\alpha(f_{\alpha_n}) v' \\ &= s_\alpha(e_{\alpha_1} \ldots e_{\alpha_n} f_{\alpha_1} \ldots f_{\alpha_n}) v'. \end{aligned}$$

Assume

$$e_{\alpha_1} \ldots e_{\alpha_n} f_{\alpha_1} \ldots f_{\alpha_n} = t(h) + \sum_i u_i n_i^+ \in \pi(U(\mathfrak{g})_0),$$

where $u_i \in u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)$, $n_i^+ \in \mathfrak{N}^+$ and $t(h) = \gamma(e_{\alpha_1} \ldots e_{\alpha_n} f_{\alpha_1} \ldots f_{\alpha_n}) \in u(H, \chi)$.

We denote $\mathfrak{N}'^+ = s_\alpha(\mathfrak{N}^+)$. Then we get

$$s_\alpha(e_{\alpha_1} \ldots e_{\alpha_n} f_{\alpha_1} \ldots f_{\alpha_n}) = s_\alpha(t(h)) + \sum_i u_i' n_i'^+,$$

where $u_i' \in u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)$, $n_i'^+ \in \mathfrak{N}'^+$. Thus, we have

$$f^\alpha(l)v' = s_\alpha(t(h))v' = \lambda(s_\alpha(t(h)))v' = t(h)(s_\alpha^{-1}(\lambda))v'.$$

Since $t(h)(\lambda) = f(\lambda)$, we get $f^\alpha(l) = f(s_\alpha^{-1}(l))$.

Lemma 3.4. *For each $\alpha \in \Delta_0$, $f(l)$ and $f(s_\alpha^{-1}(l + \alpha))$ have the same set of zeros.*

Proof. Let Φ^+ be the fixed positive root system with which $Z^\chi(l)$ and $f(l)$ are defined. Let v be the maximal vector that defines $Z^\chi(l)$. Assume $Z_1^\chi(l)$ is the baby verma module defined with respect to the new positive root system $s_\alpha(\Phi^+)$. It is easy to see that $v' =: f_\alpha^{p-1} v \in Z^\chi(\lambda)$ is a maximal vector with respect to $s_\alpha(\mathfrak{B})$ and has weight $l + \alpha$.

Since v' is homogeneous, the inclusion map $\mathbf{F}v' \to Z^\chi(l)$ induces a nonzero $\mathbf{Z}_2$-graded $u(\mathfrak{g},\chi)$-homomorphism κ: $Z_1^\chi(l) \longrightarrow Z^\chi(l)$. So Im$\kappa$ is a nonzero $\mathbf{Z}_2$-graded submodule of $Z^\chi(l)$. Note that $\dim Z_1^\chi(l) = \dim Z^\chi(l)$.

If $f(l) \neq 0$, then the simplicity of $Z^\chi(l)$ shows that κ is onto, hence an isomorphism, so that $Z_1^\chi(l)$ is simple and hence $f^\alpha(l) \neq 0$. On the other hand, if $f^\alpha(l) \neq 0$, then $Z_1^\chi(l)$ is simple, hence the $\mathbf{Z}_2$-graded submodule kerκ must be zero. Therefore κ is an isomorphism. This implies that $f(\lambda) \neq 0$, since $Z^\chi(l)$ is simple. □

Let $\alpha \in \Delta$ be a simple root. Consider the subalgebra A of $\mathfrak{g}$ with basis e_α,f_α, h_α. If $\alpha \in \Delta_0$, then $A \cong sl_2$. If $\alpha \in \Delta_1$, then A is a restricted Heisenberg algebra of rank 1 (see [13, p. 149]). By the standard results, for each semisimple character $\chi \in A^*$. A simple $u(A,\chi)$-module of highest weight $a \in \mathbf{F}$ has dimension less than $\bar{p}$ if and only if $a+1 \in \mathbf{F}_{\bar{p}} \setminus 0$.

For each simple root $\alpha \in \Delta$, we take a parabolic subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$: $\mathfrak{P} = \mathfrak{B} + \mathbf{F}f_\alpha$. Let $\mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{N}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{N}_{\bar{1}}$ be the nilradical of $\mathfrak{P}$. Let $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}^*$ be semisimple. For each $l \in X$, a simple $u(A, \chi_{|A})$-module V can be extended to a $u(\mathfrak{P},\chi)$-module as follows:

$$e_\beta V = 0, \beta \in \Phi^+ \setminus \alpha, hv = l(h)v \text{ for each } \quad h \in H.$$

Then $u(\mathfrak{g},\chi) \otimes_{u(\mathfrak{P},\chi)} V$ has dimension

$$2^{\dim \mathfrak{N}_{\bar{1}}} p^{\dim \mathfrak{N}_{\bar{0}}} \dim V.$$

Obviously $u(\mathfrak{g},\chi) \otimes_{u(\mathfrak{P},\chi)} V$ is an epimorphic image of $Z^\chi(l)$. If $l(h_\alpha)+1 \in \mathbf{F}_{\bar{p}} \setminus 0$, so that $\dim V < \bar{p}$, then we have $\dim u(\mathfrak{g},\chi) \otimes_{u(\mathfrak{P},\chi)} V < \dim Z^\chi(l)$, so $Z^\chi(l)$ is not simple, hence we have $f(l) = 0$.

Recall that $f(l) = t(h)(l)$. Let $\mathcal{V}(g)$ denote the set of zeros in X of $g \in u(H,\chi)$. Then we get $\mathcal{V}(h_\alpha + 1 - c) \subseteq \mathcal{V}(t(h))$ for every $c \in \mathbf{F}_{\bar{p}} \setminus 0$.

By the earlier discussion, each element in $u(H,\chi)$ is a polynomial of the variables $h_1, \ldots, h_r$ ($h_1, \ldots, h_{r+1}$ for $\mathfrak{g} = gl(m|n)$). It is no loss of generality to assume $h_\alpha = h_1$. For every $c \in \mathbf{F}_{\bar{p}} \setminus 0$, using the Remainder Theorem, we have

$$t(h) = (h_1 + 1 - c)q(h) + r(h), \quad q(h), r(h) \in u(H,\chi),$$

where the variable h_1 is not appearing in $r(h)$. Taking the value at a point in $\mathcal{V}(h_\alpha + 1 - c)$, we get $r(h) = 0$. Thus $f(l) = t(h)(l)$ is divisible by the polynomial $l(h_\alpha) + 1 - c$.

For the basis of H given earlier, we define $\rho \in H^*$ such that $\rho(h_i) = 1$, $i = 1, \ldots, r$, and $\rho(h_{r+1}) = 0$ if $\mathfrak{g} = gl(m|n)$. Note that $l + \rho \in X$ for any $l \in X$.

Corollary 3.5. *(1) For each $\alpha \in \Delta_0$, $[(l(h_\alpha) + \rho(h_\alpha))^{p-1} - 1] | f(l)$.*

(2) For each $\alpha \in \Delta_1$, $l(h_\alpha) | f(l)$.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $\Phi = \Phi_0 \cup \Phi_1$, $\Phi^+ = \Phi_0^+ \cup \Phi_1^+, \Delta = \Delta_0 \cup \Delta_1$ be the root, positive root, simple root system of $\mathfrak{g}$ respectively. Let W_0 be the weyl group of $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$. Then for each $\beta \in \Phi_1$, there is $\tau \in W_0$ such that $\tau(\beta) \in \Delta_1$.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} = \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}^1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}^2$ as given in Section 2. Then Δ_0 consist of simple roots of $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}^1$(denoted in terms of ϵ_i's in [6, p.51-53]) and those of $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}^2$(denoted in terms of δ_i's in [6, p.51-53]). By definition, it is easy to get $s_\alpha(\lambda) = l - l(h_\alpha)\alpha$ for $l \in H^*$ and $\alpha \in \Delta_0$. Using the root system given in [6, p.51-53], one sees that there is $\tau \in W_0$ such that $\tau(\beta) \in \Delta_1$. □

Theorem 3.7.

$$f(\lambda) = \pm\Pi_{\alpha\in\Phi_0^+}[(l(h_\alpha) + \rho(h_\alpha))^{p-1} - 1]\Pi_{\alpha\in\Phi_1^+}[l(h_\alpha) + \rho(h_\alpha) - 1].$$

Proof. By Corollary 3.5,

$$\Pi_{\alpha\in\Delta_0}[(l(h_\alpha) + \rho(h_\alpha))^{p-1} - 1]\Pi_{\alpha\in\Delta_1}[l(h_\alpha) + \rho(h_\alpha) - 1]|f(l).$$

Then the theorem follows from Lemma 3.6 and a similar argument as that for [11, Prop. 8]. □

4 The simplicity of the graded baby verma modules for $\mathfrak{g} = gl(m|n)$

Let $\mathfrak{g} = gl(m|n)$. Then $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$, where

$$\mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \oplus_{j>m,i\leq m}\mathbf{F}e_{ji} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{g}_1 = \oplus_{j>m,i\leq m}\mathbf{F}e_{ij}.$$

The reader may refer to [6] for more detailed description of $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_1$.

Let $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}^*$ and assume $\chi(\mathfrak{N}_0^+) = 0$, where $\mathfrak{N}_0^+ = \oplus\sum_{i<j}\mathbf{F}e_{ij} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$. Denote $\mathfrak{g}^+ = \mathfrak{g}_0 + \mathfrak{g}_1$. Let M be a simple $u(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}, \chi)$-module. We regard M as a $u(\mathfrak{g}^+, \chi)$-module by letting $\mathfrak{g}_1 M = 0$. As in [16], we define the induced module $Z^\chi(M) = u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi) \otimes_{u(\mathfrak{g}^+,\chi)} M$. We call it the graded baby verma module.

Lemma 4.1. *Any simple $u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)$-module $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{M}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{M}_{\bar{1}}$ is a quotient of $Z^\chi(M)$ for some simple $u(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}, \chi)$-submodule $M \subseteq \mathfrak{M}_{\bar{0}}$ or $M \subseteq \mathfrak{M}_{\bar{1}}$.*

Proof. By [16, Lemma 3.12], there is a simple $u(\mathfrak{g}^+, \chi)$-submodule $M \subseteq \mathfrak{M}_{\bar{0}}$ or $M \subseteq \mathfrak{M}_{\bar{1}}$. Then the inclusion map $M \to \mathfrak{M}$ induces a $\mathbf{Z}_2$-graded $u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)$-module homomorphism $f : Z^\chi(M) \to \mathfrak{M}$. Since $\mathfrak{M}$ is simple f is an epimorphism. □

Let $v \in M$ be a maximal vector of weight l. Let $e_1, \ldots, e_l$ (resp., $f_1, \ldots, f_l$) denote the following basis of $\mathfrak{g}_1$(resp., $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$):

$$\{e_{ij}|i \leq m, j > m\}(resp., \{e_{ij}|i > m, j < m\}).$$

We put them in the order of ascending heights. For $e_1 \ldots e_l f_1 \ldots f_l \in \pi(U(\mathfrak{g})_0)$, assume $e_1 \ldots e_l f_1 \ldots f_l v = f_1(\lambda)v$.

Proposition 4.2. *(1) If $f_1(l) \neq 0$, then $Z^\chi(M)$ is simple.*

(2) If $Z^\chi(M)$ is simple, then $f_1(\lambda) \neq 0$.

Proof. (1) Let V be any proper submodule of $Z^\chi(M)$. Taking

$$0 \neq \sum f_{i_1} \dots f_{i_t} m_{i_1,\dots,i_t} \in V, \quad m_{i_1,\dots,i_t} \in M,$$

let t be the smallest such that $f_{i_1} \dots f_{i_t} m_{i_1,\dots,i_t} \neq 0$. Let

$$\{j_1, \dots, j_{l-t}\} = \{1, \dots, l\} - \{i_1, \dots, i_t\}.$$

By applying $f_{j_1} \dots f_{j_{l-t}}$, we get $0 \neq f_1 \dots f_l m \in V$ for some $0 \neq m \in M$. Hence

$$e_1 \dots e_l f_1 \dots f_l m \in V.$$

Let $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} = H \oplus \mathfrak{N}_0^- \oplus \mathfrak{N}_0^+$. Since M is a simple $u(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}, \chi)$-module, there is a polynomial

$$f = \sum n_i^- h_i n_i^+ \in u(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}, \chi) = u(\mathfrak{N}_0^-, \chi) u(H, \chi) u(\mathfrak{N}_0^+, \chi)$$

such that fm is the maximal vector $v \in M$. Note that for $i, j \leq m$ with $i \neq j$,

$$[e_{ij}, e_1 \dots e_l] = [e_{ij}, f_1 \dots f_l] = 0, [h, e_1 \dots e_l f_1 \dots f_l] = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad h \in H.$$

So we get

$$[f, e_1 \dots e_l f_1 \dots f_l] = [\Sigma n_i^- h_i n_i^+, e_1 \dots e_l f_1 \dots f_l] = 0.$$

This shows that $e_1 \dots e_l f_1 \dots f_l v \in V$ and hence $v \in V$. So (1) follows immediately.

(2) Let $v_1 = v, v_2, \dots, v_s$ be a basis of M. Since $\mathfrak{g}_1 M = 0$ and $\dim Z^\chi(M) = 2^{\dim \mathfrak{g}_{-1}} \dim M$.

$$\{f_{i_1} \dots f_{i_k} v_i | 0 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq l, i = 1, \dots, s\}$$

is a basis of $Z^\chi(M)$. Let $M' = f_1 \dots f_l M$, then $\dim M' = \dim M = s$.

Since $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} M' = 0$,

$$Z^\chi(M) = u(\mathfrak{g}_1) M' = \langle e_{i_1} \dots e_{i_k} f_1 \dots f_l v_i | 0 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq l, i = 1, \dots, s \rangle.$$

Therefore, $e_1 \dots e_l f_1 \dots f_l v \neq 0$, so that $f_1(l) \neq 0$. □

Denote $f_0(l) = \Pi_{\alpha \in \Phi_0^+} [(l(h_\alpha) + \rho(h_\alpha))^{p-1} - 1]$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let χ be semisimple. Then $f_1(l) = cf(l)/f_0(l)$, $0 \neq c \in \mathbf{F}$.*

Proof. If l is such that $f_0(l) \neq 0$ but $f(l) = 0$, then by [11, Th. 3], $M(l)$ is simple, where $M(\lambda)$ is the simple $u(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}, \chi)$-module with the unique maximal vector v of weight λ. Since $Z^\chi(M(l))$ is not simple, we get $f_1(l) = 0$. By Theorem 3.7, $f(l)/f_0(l) \in u(H, \chi)$ is the product of linear factors. So we have $[f(l)/f_0(l)] | f_1(l)$. A direct computation on $e_1 \cdots e_l f_1 \cdots f_l v$ shows that the highest term of $\gamma(e_1 \cdots e_l f_1 \cdots f_l)$ is $h_{\beta_1} \cdots h_{\beta_l}$, where $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_l$ are all the positive odd roots of $\mathfrak{g}$. So we get $\deg(f_1(l)) = l$. That is

$$\deg(f_1(l)) = \deg(f(l)) - \deg(f_0(l)).$$

Hence we get $f_1(l) = cf(l)/f_0(l)$ for some $c \neq 0$. □

By Theorem 3.7, $f_1(l) = \Pi_{\alpha\in\Phi_1^+}((l+\rho)(h_\alpha)-1)$(up to a constant multiple). For $\alpha = \epsilon_i - \delta_j \in \Phi_1^+$, it is easy to see that $h_\alpha = e_{ii} + e_{i+j,i+j}$. So we get $\rho(h_\alpha) = m - i + j \in \mathbf{F}_p$. Let χ be semisimple. If $\chi(h_\alpha) \neq 0$, then $l(h_\alpha) \notin \mathbf{F}_p$. So we have

Theorem 4.4. *Let $\mathfrak{g} = gl(m|n)$ and let χ be semisimple. If $\chi(h_\alpha) \neq 0$ for every $\alpha \in \Phi_1^+$, then $Z^\chi(M)$ is simple.*

Recall $Z^\chi(\lambda) = Z_{\bar{0}} \oplus Z_{\bar{1}}$. Let $v \in Z_0$ be the maximal vector that defines $Z^\chi(\lambda)$. Let $V_0 := u(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}, \chi)v \subseteq Z_{\bar{0}}$. Since $[e_{ij}, \mathfrak{g}_1] \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_1$ and $[e_{ij}, \mathfrak{g}_{-1}] \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ for any $e_{ij} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$, we have $\mathfrak{g}_1 V_0 = 0$. Let

$$V_0 \supseteq V_1 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq V_s \supseteq 0$$

be a composition series of $u(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}, \chi)$-submodules. Then it can also be regarded as a composition series of $u(\mathfrak{g}^+, \chi)$-modules. This induces a filtration of $\mathbf{Z}_2$-graded $u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)$-submodules of $Z^\chi(\lambda)$:

$$Z^\chi(\lambda) = u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi) \otimes_{u(\mathfrak{g}^+, \chi)} V_0 \supseteq u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi) \otimes_{u(\mathfrak{g}^+, \chi)} V_1 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi) \otimes_{u(\mathfrak{g}^+, \chi)} V_s \supseteq 0.$$

We see that each factor module

$$u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi) \otimes_{u(\mathfrak{g}^+, \chi)} V_{i-1} / u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi) \otimes V_i \cong u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi) \otimes_{u(\mathfrak{g}^+, \chi)} V_{i-1}/V_i = Z^\chi(V_{i-1}/V_i), i = 1, \ldots, s$$

is a graded baby verma module.

Corollary 4.5. *Let $\mathfrak{g} = gl(m|n)$. If $f_1(\lambda) \neq 0$, then the baby verma module $Z^\chi(\lambda)$ has a composition series induced by that of the $u(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}, \chi)$ baby verma module $u(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}, \chi)v$. In addition, the loewy length equals one if and only if $f_0(\lambda) \neq 0$.*

5 $\mathfrak{g} = gl(m|n)$

5.1 The Frobenius superalgebra

Definition 5.1. *[6] Let $V = V_{\bar{0}} \oplus V_{\bar{1}}$ be a $\mathbf{Z}_2$-graded space and f is a bilinear form on V. Then f is called supersymmetric if $f(a,b) = (-1)^{\mathrm{p}(a)\mathrm{p}(b)} f(b,a)$ for any $a, b \in V_{\bar{0}} \cup V_{\bar{1}}$.*

Definition 5.2. *An associative $\mathbf{F}$-superalgebra A is called a Frobenius superalgebra if it has a non-degenerate invariant bilinear form f. A Frobenius superalgebra is said to be supersymmetric if f is supersymmetric.*

Let $A = A_{\bar{0}} \oplus A_{\bar{1}}$ be a Frobenius superalgebra. We use the notation A_L (resp., A_R) to denote the left (resp., right) regular A-module A. Let

$$A_{\bar{i}}^* =: \{f \in A^* | f(A_{\overline{i+1}}) = 0\}, \bar{i} \in \mathbf{Z}_2.$$

Then $A^* = A_{\bar{0}}^* \oplus A_{\bar{1}}^*$. It is easy to check that A_L^* (resp., A_R^*) is a right (resp., left) $\mathbf{Z}_2$-graded A-module.

Lemma 5.3. *Let A be a finite dimensional superalgebra. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) A is Frobenious.

(2) $A_L \cong (A_R)^*$, $A_R \cong (A_L)^*$.

The proof is similar to that of [1, Th. 61.3, p.414].

If we take the polynomial ring $\mathcal{O}$ generated by $\{x^p - x^{[p]} | x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}\}$, then $\mathcal{O}$ is in the center of $U(\mathfrak{g})$. By applying a similar argument as that for [3, Prop.1.2], one gets

Proposition 5.4. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a finitely dimensional restricted Lie superalgebra. For any $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$(considered as a linear function on $\mathfrak{g}$ by letting $\chi(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}) = 0$), $u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)$ is a Frobenius superalgebra. Moreover, $u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)$ is supersymmetric if $str(adx) = 0$ for any $x \in \mathfrak{g}$.*

Definition 5.5. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a restricted Lie superalgebra. We call $\mathfrak{g}$ unipotent if for any $x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$, there is $r > 0$ such that $x^{[p]^r} = 0$.*

By Lemma 2.1(2), we get

Corollary 5.6. *If $\mathfrak{g}$ is unipotent, then the trivial $\mathfrak{g}$-module $\mathbf{F}$ is the only simple $u(\mathfrak{g})$-module.*

Lemma 5.7. *If $\mathfrak{g}$ is unipotent, then each $u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)$ has only one simple module (up to isomorphism).*

Proof. Let $M = M_{\bar{0}} \oplus M_{\bar{1}}$ and $M' = M'_{\bar{0}} \oplus M'_{\bar{1}}$ be two simple $u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)$-modules. For $\bar{i}, \bar{j} \in \mathbf{Z}_2$, let

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{F}}(M, M')_{\bar{i}} = \{f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{F}}(M, M') | f(M_{\bar{j}}) \subseteq M'_{\overline{i+j}}\}.$$

Then

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{F}}(M, M') = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{F}}(M, M')_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{F}}(M, M')_{\bar{1}}$$

is a $u(\mathfrak{g})$-module. By Corollary 5.6, $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{F}}(M, M')_{\bar{0}}$ contains a trivial submodule $\mathbf{F}x$. Suppose $x = x_{\bar{0}} + x_{\bar{1}}$, $x_{\bar{i}} \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{F}}(M, M')_{\bar{i}}$, $\bar{i} \in \mathbf{Z}_2$. Then both $\mathbf{F}x_{\bar{i}}$ are trivial $u(\mathfrak{g})$-submodules. So we may assume x is homogeneous. Therefore

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(M, M')_{\bar{0}} \cup \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(M, M')_{\bar{1}} \neq 0.$$

Take

$$0 \neq x \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(M, M')_{\bar{0}} \cup \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(M, M')_{\bar{1}},$$

then $x(M_{\bar{0}}) \oplus x(M_{\bar{1}})$ is a nonzero $\mathbf{Z}_2$-graded submodule of M'. Since M and M' are simple, $M \cong M'$. □

Lemma 5.8. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a finite dimensional unipotent Lie superalgebra. Then the regular(both left and right) $u(\mathfrak{g})$-module $u(\mathfrak{g})$ has a unique trivial submodule $\mathbf{F}v$. Therefore, $v \in u(\mathfrak{g})_{\bar{0}} \cup u(\mathfrak{g})_{\bar{1}}$.*

Proof. We only give the proof for the left regular $u(\mathfrak{g})$-module. By Corollary 5.6, there is $v \in u(\mathfrak{g})$ such that $\mathbf{F}v$ is a trivial $u(\mathfrak{g})$-submodule. Let f be the non-degenerate invariant bilinear form on $u(\mathfrak{g})$. By assumption, $f(x, v) = f(1, xv) = 0$ for any $x \in \mathfrak{g}$. So v is in the (right) orthogonal complement of $u(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{g}$. Since $u(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{g}$ has codimension 1 in $u(\mathfrak{g})$, its orthogonal complement is 1-dimensional. This implies that $u(\mathfrak{g})$ has a unique trivial submodule $\mathbf{F}v$. The uniqueness implies that v is homogeneous. □

Lemma 5.9. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a finite dimensional unipotent Lie superalgebra. If $str(adx) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}$. Let $\mathbf{F}v_L$(resp., $\mathbf{F}v_R$) be the unique trivial $u(\mathfrak{g})$-submodule of $u(\mathfrak{g})_L$(resp., $u(\mathfrak{g})_R$). Then $\mathbf{F}v_L = \mathbf{F}v_R$.*

Proof. By assumption, $u(\mathfrak{g})$ is a supersymmetric superalgebra. Let f be the non-degenerate invariant bilinear form on $u(\mathfrak{g})$. The super symmetry of f implies that both v_L and v_R are in the right orthogonal complement of $u(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{g}$, which is 1-dimensional, so $v_L = v_R$(up to a nonzero constant multiple). □

Definition 5.10. *[6, p.15] A derivation of degree $s \in \mathbf{Z}_2$ of a superalgebra A is an endomorphism $D \in End_s A$ with property*

$$D(ab) = D(a)b + (-1)^{\mathrm{sp}(a)} aD(b).$$

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie superalgebra. By the Jacobi identity, ada: $b \to [a, b]$ is a derivation of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Assume $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$ has a basis $u_1, \ldots, u_l$. Then $U(\mathfrak{g}) = U(\mathfrak{g})_{\bar{0}} \oplus U(\mathfrak{g})_{\bar{1}}$ is naturally $\mathbf{Z}_2$-graded, where

$$U(\mathfrak{g})_{\bar{0}} = \sum_{\substack{i_1<\cdots<i_s \\ s \text{ is even}}} u_{i_1} \ldots u_{i_s} U(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}), U(\mathfrak{g})_{\bar{1}} = \sum_{\substack{i_1<\cdots<i_s \\ s \text{ is odd}}} u_{i_1} \ldots u_{i_s} U(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}).$$

Generally, taking $x_1 x_2 \cdots x_k \in U(\mathfrak{g})$, $x_1, \ldots, x_k \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} \cup \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$, it is easy to see that $\mathrm{p}(x_1 \cdots x_k) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \mathrm{p}(x_i)$.

Let $a \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} \cup \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$. We now extend ada to a derivation of $U(\mathfrak{g})$. Let $f \in U(\mathfrak{g})_\theta$, $\theta \in \mathbf{Z}_2$. We define

$$ada(f) = af - (-1)^{\mathrm{p}(a)\mathrm{p}(f)} fa.$$

Use induction we can show that

Lemma 5.11. *Let $f = x_1 \cdots x_k \in U(\mathfrak{g})_\theta$, where $x_i \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} \cup \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$. Then*

$$ada(f) = \sum (-1)^{\mathrm{p}(a)\mathrm{p}(x_1 \cdots x_{i-1})} x_1 \cdots [a, x_i] x_{i+1} \cdots x_k.$$

Recall I_χ for $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ given in Section 2. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a restricted Lie superalgebra and let $a \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} \cup \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$. For each generator $x^p - x^{[p]} - \chi(x)^p \cdot 1 \in U(\mathfrak{g})_{\bar{0}}$, it is easy to see that $ada(x^p - x^{[p]} - \chi(x)^p \cdot 1) = 0$. This induces a derivation on $u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)$. We denote it also by ada. Note that I_χ is generated by elements in $U(\mathfrak{g})_{\bar{0}}$. Then $u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)$ inherits the $Z_2 - gradation$ from that of $U(\mathfrak{g})$, and Lemma 5.11 also holds in $u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)$.

5.2 The Kac-weisfeiler Theorem

The $\mathbf{F}$-vector space $gl(m+n)$ has a structure of the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g} = gl(m|n)$, and also a structure of Lie algebra $\mathrm{gl}(m+n)$. The two strutures share the same cartan subalgebra $H = \langle e_{ii} | i = 1, \ldots, m+n \rangle$ and the corresponding root space decomposition.

Let $\mathfrak{g} = gl(m|n)$. Then $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} = gl(m) \oplus gl(n)$ and $\mathfrak{g} = H + \oplus \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, where $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha = \mathbf{F} e_{ij}$, $1 \leq i, j \leq m+n$. With respect to H, the root system of $\mathfrak{g}$ is given in [6, p.51].

If we write $\epsilon_{m+1} = \delta_1, \ldots, \epsilon_{m+n} = \delta_n$, where $\epsilon_i(e_{jj}) = \delta_{ij}$, then the root system of $gl(m|n)$ coincides with that of $\mathrm{gl}(m+n)$(denoted by Φ), and each simple root system of $gl(m|n)$ coincides with that of $\mathrm{gl}(m+n)$.

For $\alpha \in \Phi^+$, we use e_α(resp., f_α) to denote the positive(resp., negative) root vector. i.e., $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha = \mathbf{F} e_\alpha$(resp., $\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha} = \mathbf{F} f_\alpha$).

If we use $[,]_s$ momentarily to denote the Lie product in $gl(m|n)$, then we see that

$$(*) \qquad [e_{\alpha_i}, e_{\alpha_j}]_s = \pm [e_{\alpha_i}, e_{\alpha_j}], [f_{\alpha_i}, f_{\alpha_j}]_s = \pm [f_{\alpha_i}, f_{\alpha_j}],$$
$$[e_{\alpha_i}, f_{\alpha_j}]_s = \pm [e_{\alpha_i}, f_{\alpha_j}] \quad \text{for} \quad \alpha_i \neq \alpha_j.$$

For each positive root $\alpha \in \Phi_1^+$, we define $s_\alpha(\beta) =: \tau_\alpha(\beta), \beta \in \Phi$, where τ_α is the reflection defined in the root system of $\mathrm{gl}(m+n)$. The definition of s_α is justified by the next lemma.

Lemma 5.12. *$s_\alpha(\Phi^+)$ is a positive root system of $gl(m|n)$ with the simple root system $s_\alpha(\Delta)$.*

Proof. Since $\tau_\alpha(\Phi^+)$ is a positive root system of $\mathrm{gl}(m+n)$ with simple roots $\tau_\alpha(\Delta)$, $\tau_\alpha(\Delta)$ is a minimal subset of $\tau_\alpha(\Phi^+)$ satisfying

(1) $\{e_\gamma | \gamma \in \tau_\alpha(\Delta)\}$ generates $\{e_\gamma | \gamma \in \tau_\alpha(\Phi^+)\}$, $\{f_\gamma | \gamma \in \tau_\alpha(\Delta)\}$ generates $\{f_\gamma | \gamma \in \tau_\alpha(\Phi^+)\}$.

(2) $\{e_\gamma, f_\gamma | \gamma \in \tau_\alpha(\Delta)\}$ generates $\mathrm{gl}(m+n)$.

(3) $[e_\gamma, f_\beta] = \delta_{\gamma,\beta} h \in H$, $\gamma, \beta \in \tau_\alpha(\Delta)$.

Note that

$$(**) \quad [h, e_\gamma]_s = [h, e_\gamma] = \gamma(h) e_\gamma, \quad \text{for every} \quad h \in H.$$

So each e_γ above is also a root vector in the Lie superalgebra $gl(m|n)$. Then $(*)$ says that $\{e_\gamma | \gamma \in \tau_\alpha(\Delta)\}$ is the set of root vectors of a simple root system $\tilde{\Delta}$ of $\mathfrak{g} = gl(m|n)$, and $\{e_\gamma | \gamma \in \tau_\alpha(\Phi^+)\}$ is the set of root vectors of the corresponding positive root system $\tilde{\Phi}^+$.

By $(**)$, we have $\tilde{\Delta} = \tau_\alpha(\Delta)$ and $\tilde{\Phi}^+ = \tau_\alpha(\Phi^+)$; that is, $\tau_\alpha(\Phi^+)$ is a positive root system of $gl(m|n)$ with the simple root system $\tau_\alpha(\Delta)$. $\square$

Lemma 5.13. *Let $I = I_{\bar{0}} \oplus I_{\bar{1}}$ be a restricted ideal of a subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}'$ of the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Assume $\chi(I) = 0$ and $u(I) \subseteq u(\mathfrak{g}', \chi)$ be the reduced enveloping algebra of I. Let $\mathbf{F}v_L$ be the unique 1-dimensional trivial submodule of $u(I)$. Suppose $v_L = x_1^{i_1} \dots x_m^{i_m}$, where $x_k^{i_k} \in I_{i_k}$ for each $i_k \in \mathbf{Z}_2$. Then for every homogeneous $a \in \mathfrak{g}'$, $ada(v_L) = lv_L$ for some $l \in \mathbf{F}$. If ada is nilpotent, then $l = 0$.*

Proof. Let $x \in I$ be homogeneous. Then

$$xada(v_L) = x(av_L - (-1)^{\mathrm{p}(v_L)}v_L a)$$

$$= [x, a]v_L + (-1)^{\mathrm{p}(x)\mathrm{p}(a)}axv_L - (-1)^{\mathrm{p}(v_L)}xv_L a = 0.$$

Therefore $ada(v_L) = lv_L$ for some $l \in \mathbf{F}$. □

Note that if $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$, the lemma recovers [3, Lemma 8.3].

Let $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}^*$. Without loss of generality we assume χ vanishes on $N^+ = \sum_{\alpha>0} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$. Let $\chi = \chi_s + \chi_n$, where χ_s is the semisimple part and χ_n is the nilpotent part. Let

$$\mathfrak{l}' = C_{\mathfrak{g}}(\chi_s) = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} | \chi_s([x, -]) = 0\}.$$

Then we get

$$\mathfrak{l}' = H \oplus \sum_{\chi(h_\alpha)=0} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha.$$

Let $\mathfrak{l} = [\mathfrak{l}', \mathfrak{l}']$ and let $\mathfrak{B} = H + N^+$. $\mathfrak{P} = \mathfrak{B} + \mathfrak{l}$ is a parabolic subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. First we take the natural root system of $\mathfrak{g}$ with the simple roots

$$\Delta = \{\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_m - \delta_1, \dots, \delta_{n-1} - \delta_n\}.$$

Then $\mathfrak{N} = \sum_{\chi(h_\alpha)\neq 0, \alpha>0} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ is the nilradical of $\mathfrak{P}$. Let $\mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{N}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{N}_{\bar{1}}$, where

$$\mathfrak{N}_{\bar{i}} = \sum_{\chi(h_\alpha)\neq 0, \alpha \in \Phi_{\bar{i}}^+} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \bar{i} \in \mathbf{Z}_2.$$

Let $\Phi_{\mathfrak{l}}$ be the root system of $\mathfrak{l}$. Denote $\Phi' = \{\alpha \in \Phi^+ | \chi(h_\alpha) \neq 0\}$. By [8, p. 140], $\chi(e_\alpha) = 0$ for every $\alpha \in \Phi^+ \cup -\Phi'$.

Lemma 5.14. *([15],[3]) There is an order of the roots in Φ': $\Phi' = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_s\}$ such that if $\Phi_1^+ = \Phi^+$, $\Delta_1 = \Delta$. $\Phi_{i+1}^+ = s_{\alpha_i}(\Phi_i^+)$ and $\Delta_{i+1} = s_{\alpha_i}(\Delta_i)$. Then*

(1) Φ_i^+ is a system of positive roots in Φ with simple roots Δ_i and $\alpha_i \in \Delta_i$.

(2) For each $i \leq s$, $\{-\alpha_1, -\alpha_2, \dots, -\alpha_i\}$ is a closed subsystem normalized by $\Phi_{\mathfrak{l}}^+$.

Proof. Now that s_α is defined for $\alpha \in \Phi_1$, the proof in [15] and [3] also works here. We give here only a χ-related proof to the first part. We treat χ as a linear function of $\mathfrak{g}$ with $\chi(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}) = 0$. Note that we can assume $\mathfrak{g} = sl(m|n)$ for this lemma.

Suppose $\Delta \cap \Phi' = \phi$. Then we have $\Delta \subseteq \Phi_{\mathfrak{l}}$. Since $\Phi_{\mathfrak{l}}$ is a closed root system and $H \subseteq [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$, we get $\chi(H) = 0$. Thus, χ is nilpotent and the case being trivial.

Taking $\alpha_1 \in \Delta \cap \Phi'$, we let $\Delta_2 = s_{\alpha_1}(\Delta_1)$ and $\Phi_2^+ = s_{\alpha_1}(\Phi_1^+)$. Note that $s_{\alpha_i}(\Phi') \subseteq \Phi'$. Using a similar argument as above, we can find $\alpha_2 \in \Delta_2 \cap \Phi'$. We continue this process. Suppose there is $i < j$ such that $\alpha_i = \alpha_j$. Then since $-\alpha_i \in \Phi_j^+$ and $\alpha_j \in \Delta_j \in \Phi_j^+$, we get a contradiction. Therefore Φ' can be ordered as required. □

Let $\Phi' = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_s\}$ be in the order as above. As in [3], we let $F_i = \langle f_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, f_{\alpha_i} \rangle$. Then since $\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_i\}$ is closed, F_i is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. Since $\chi(F_i) = 0$, $u(F_i) = u(F_i, \chi) \subseteq u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)$.

Theorem 5.15. *Let $M = M_{\bar{0}} \oplus M_{\bar{1}}$ be a simple $u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)$-module and $M' \subseteq M$ a $\mathbf{Z}_2$-graded simple $u(\mathfrak{P}, \chi)$-submodule. Then $M \cong u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi) \otimes_{u(\mathfrak{P}, \chi)} M'$. In particular, we have*

$$dim M = p^{dim \mathfrak{N}_{\bar{0}}} 2^{dim \mathfrak{N}_{\bar{1}}} dim M'.$$

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{B}_i$ denote the Borel subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to Δ_i and $\mathfrak{P}_i = \mathfrak{B}_i + \mathbf{F} f_{\alpha_i}$. Denote $\mathfrak{N}_i = \oplus_{\alpha \in \Phi_i^+, \alpha \neq \alpha_i} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$. Then $\mathfrak{N}_i$ is the nilradical of $\mathfrak{P}_i$. Since M is simple, M is the quotient of $W = u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi) \otimes_{u(\mathfrak{P}, \chi)} M'$. Note that $\mathfrak{B}_{i+1} \subset \mathfrak{P}_i$.

We define an increasing filtration of W:

$$W_1 = 1 \otimes M', W_{i+1} = u(\mathfrak{P}_i, \chi) \otimes_{u(\mathfrak{B}_i, \chi)} W_i, i = 1, \ldots, s.$$

Clearly we have $W_{s+1} = W$.

Let W' be a simple $u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)$-submodule of W and choose i minimal such that $W' \cap W_{i+1} \neq 0$.

Suppose $i > 0$. We shall derive a contradiction. We first show that

$$(1) \quad W_{i+1}^{\mathfrak{N}_i} = (u(\mathfrak{P}_i, \chi) \otimes_{u(\mathfrak{B}_i, \chi)} W_i)^{\mathfrak{N}_i} = u(\mathfrak{P}_i, \chi) \otimes_{u(\mathfrak{B}_i, \chi)} W_i^{\mathfrak{N}_i}.$$

For each root α of $\mathfrak{N}_i$, we have $[e_\alpha, f_{\alpha_i}] \in \mathfrak{N}_i$, since $\mathfrak{N}_i$ is the nilradical of $\mathfrak{P}_i$. Let

$$x = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \bar{p}-1} f_{\alpha_i}^j \otimes x_j \in W_{i+1}^{\mathfrak{N}_i}.$$

Then we obtain $f_{\alpha_i}^k x \in W_{i+1}^{\mathfrak{N}_i}$ for every $0 \leq k \leq \bar{p} - 1$.

Assume $\alpha_i \in \Phi_0^+$. Since $\chi(f_{\alpha_i}) = 0$, so that $f_{\alpha_i}^p = 0$ in $u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)$, we have

$$f_{\alpha_j}^k x = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq p-1-k} f_{\alpha_i}^{j+k} \otimes x_j, 0 \leq k \leq p-1.$$

Assume $\alpha_i \in \Phi_1^+$. Since $f_{\alpha_i}^2 = 0$ in $u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)$(also in $U(\mathfrak{g})$), we have

$$f_{\alpha_j}^k x = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq 1-k} f_{\alpha_i}^{j+k} \otimes x_j$$

for $k = 0, 1$.

Hence we have, for any $\alpha_i \in \Phi^+$,

$$f_{\alpha_j}^k x = \sum_{0 \le j \le \bar{p}-1-k} f_{\alpha_i}^{j+k} \otimes x_j$$

for $0 \le k \le \bar{p} - 1$, and $f_{\alpha_i}^{\bar{p}} x = 0$.

We use induction on k to prove that $x_k \in W_i^{\mathfrak{N}_i}$. For $k = 0$, take an arbitrary $y \in \mathfrak{N}_i$. Since $[f_{\alpha_i}, y] \in \mathfrak{N}_i \subseteq \mathfrak{B}_i$, we get

$$0 = y f_{\alpha_i}^{\bar{p}-1} x = y f_{\alpha_i}^{\bar{p}-1} \otimes x_0 = f_{\alpha_i}^{\bar{p}-1} \otimes y x_0 + \sum_{l<\bar{p}-1} f_{\alpha_i}^l \otimes w_l', w_l' \in W_i.$$

This gives us $yx_0 = 0$ and hence $x_0 \in W_i^{\mathfrak{N}_i}$.

Assume $x_k \in W_i^{\mathfrak{N}_i}$ for all $k < m \le \bar{p} - 1$, and consider the case $k = m$. For any $y \in \mathfrak{N}_i$, we have

$$0 = y f_{\alpha_i}^{\bar{p}-1-m} x = y \sum_{0 \le k \le m} f_{\alpha_i}^{\bar{p}-1-m+k} \otimes x_k.$$

Then we get

$$f_{\alpha_i}^{\bar{p}-1} \otimes y x_m + \sum_{l<\bar{p}-1} f_{\alpha_i}^l \otimes w_l' = 0, w_l' \in W_i.$$

This gives us $yx_m = 0$, so that $x_m \in W_i^{\mathfrak{N}_i}$. Hence $x \in u(\mathfrak{P}_i, \chi) \otimes_{u(\mathfrak{B}_i,\chi)} W_i^{\mathfrak{N}_i}$.

Let $F_i = (F_i)_{\bar{0}} \oplus (F_i)_{\bar{1}}$. Since $(F_i)_{\bar{0}}^{[p]} = 0$ and $\chi(F_i) = 0$, $u(F_i) \subseteq u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)$ is supersymmetric.

Let $\mathbf{F}v_L$ be the unique 1-dimensional trivial submodule in the left regular module $u(F_{i-1})$. We may assume that $v_L = f_{\alpha_{j_1}}^{\bar{p}-1} \dots f_{\alpha_{j_{i-1}}}^{\bar{p}-1}$, where $\alpha_{j_1}, \dots, \alpha_{j_{i-1}}$ is the set $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{i-1}$ put in the order of ascending heights. By Lemma 5.9, $v_R = v_L$.

By definition, W_i is a free $u(F_{i-1})$-module. In particular, as a $u(F_{i-1})$-submodule,

$$W_i \cong u(F_{i-1}) \otimes_{\mathbf{F}} W_1 \quad (\text{canonically imbedded in} \quad u(\mathfrak{P}, \chi) \otimes_{u(\mathfrak{B},\chi)} W_1).$$

Then the fact $v_L = v_R$ shows that

$$W_i^{F_{i-1}} = v_L \otimes W_1.$$

Since $F_{i-1} \subseteq \mathfrak{N}_i$, $W_i^{\mathfrak{N}_i} \subseteq W_i^{F_{i-1}}$. It follows that $W_i^{\mathfrak{N}_i} \subseteq (v_L \otimes W_1)^{\mathfrak{N}_i}$.

For any $\alpha \in \Phi_{\mathrm{l}}^+$ and $w_1 \in W_1$, if $e_\alpha v_L \otimes w_1 = 0$, then we get $v_L \otimes e_\alpha w_1 = 0$ by Lemma 5.11. Hence $e_\alpha w_1 = 0$. Since $\mathfrak{N} = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi'} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ is the nilradical of $\mathfrak{P}$ and $\chi(\mathfrak{N}) = 0$, Lemma 2.1(2) shows that $\mathfrak{N} W_1 = 0$. Note that $\mathfrak{N}_1 \subseteq \oplus_{\alpha \in \Phi_{\mathrm{l}}^+} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha + \mathfrak{N}$. So we get $\mathfrak{N}_1 w_1 = 0$. This gives us

$$W_i^{\mathfrak{N}_i} \subseteq v_L \otimes W_1^{\mathfrak{N}_1}.$$

Since $\mathfrak{N}_i$ is an ideal of $\mathfrak{B}_i$ and $e_{\alpha_i} \in \mathfrak{B}_i$, $e_{\alpha_i} W_i^{\mathfrak{N}_i} \subseteq W_i^{\mathfrak{N}_i}$. Thus we get

$$e_{\alpha_i} W_i^{\mathfrak{N}_i} \subseteq W_i^{\mathfrak{N}_i} \cap e_{\alpha_i} v_L \otimes W_1^{\mathfrak{N}_1}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} e_{\alpha_i} W_i^{\mathfrak{N}_i} &\subseteq v_L \otimes W_1^{\mathfrak{N}_1} \cap e_{\alpha_i} v_L \otimes W_1^{\mathfrak{N}_1} \\ &= v_L \otimes W_1^{\mathfrak{N}_1} \cap (v_L \otimes e_{\alpha_i} W_1^{\mathfrak{N}_1} + [e_{\alpha_i}, v_L] \otimes W_1^{\mathfrak{N}_1}) \\ &= v_L \otimes W_1^{\mathfrak{N}_1} \cap [e_{\alpha_i}, v_L] \otimes W_1^{\mathfrak{N}_1}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 5.12(2), $\bar{P}_{i-1} =: \mathfrak{B} + F_{i-1}$ is a parabolic subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. Clearly e_{α_i} is in the nilradical of $\bar{P}_{i-1}$: $\bar{N}_{i-1} = \oplus_{\alpha \in \Phi_{\mathrm{l}}^+} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha + \langle e_{\alpha_i}, \ldots, e_{\alpha_s} \rangle$. Therefore, we have $[e_{\alpha_i}, f_{\alpha_j}] \in \bar{N}_{i-1} \subseteq \mathfrak{B}$ for each $j < i$. It follows that

$$[e_{\alpha_i}, v_L] \otimes W_1 \subseteq \sum_{l_k < \bar{p}-1 \quad \text{for some} \quad k} e_{\alpha_{j_1}}^{l_1} \cdots e_{\alpha_{j_{i-1}}}^{l_{i-1}} \otimes W_1.$$

Then we get $e_{\alpha_i} W_i^{\mathfrak{N}_i} = 0$.

We shall now prove that

$$(2) \quad (u(\mathfrak{P}_i, \chi) \otimes_{u(\mathfrak{B}_i, \chi)} W_i^{\mathfrak{N}_i})^{e_{\alpha_i}} = 1 \otimes W_i^{\mathfrak{N}_i}.$$

Let

$$x = \sum_{0 \le k \le \bar{p}-1} f_{\alpha_i}^k \otimes w_k \in (u(\mathfrak{P}_i, \chi) \otimes_{u(\mathfrak{B}_i, \chi)} W_i^{\mathfrak{N}_i})^{e_{\alpha_i}}.$$

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= e_{\alpha_i} \sum_{0 \le k \le \bar{p}-1} f_{\alpha_i}^k \otimes w_k \\ &= \sum_{1 \le k \le \bar{p}-1} [e_{\alpha_i}, f_{\alpha_i}^k] \otimes w_k \\ &= - \sum_{1 \le k \le \bar{p}-1} 2k f_{\alpha_i}^{k-1} \otimes (k - 1 - h_{\alpha_i}) w_k. \end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$(k - 1 - h_{\alpha_i}) w_k = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \le k \le \bar{p} - 1.$$

i.e., $h_{\alpha_i} w_k = (k-1) w_k$. It follows that

$$\chi(h_{\alpha_i})^p w_k = (h_{\alpha_i}^p - h_{\alpha_i}) w_k = 0, 0 \le k \le \bar{p} - 1.$$

So we obtain $w_k = 0$, $1 \le k \le \bar{p} - 1$ and hence $x = 1 \otimes w_0 \in W_i^{\mathfrak{N}_i}$.

From (1) and (2), we get

$$0 \neq ((W' \cap W_{i+1})^{\mathfrak{N}_i})^{e_{\alpha_i}} \subseteq (u(\mathfrak{P}_i, \chi) \otimes_{u(\mathfrak{B}_i)} W_i^{\mathfrak{N}_i})^{e_{\alpha_i}} = 1 \otimes W_i^{\mathfrak{N}_i}.$$

This implies that $W' \cap W_i \neq 0$, a contradiction.

Then we must have $W' \cap (1 \otimes W_1) \neq 0$, and hence $W' = W$. Therefore W is a simple $u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)$-module, so that $M \cong W$.

Since $\mathfrak{N}$ is the nilradical of $\mathfrak{P}$ with $\chi(\mathfrak{N}) = 0$, Lemma 2.1(2) shows that each simple $u(\mathfrak{P}, \chi)$-module is a simple $u(\mathfrak{l}', \chi)$-module and vice versa. So M' is a simple $u(\mathfrak{l}', \chi)$-module. Let $\mathfrak{l}' = \mathfrak{l} \oplus H'$, where $H' \subseteq H$ and $[H', \mathfrak{l}'] = 0$. Using the fact that $H' \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$, Schur's Lemma ([6, p.18]) shows that H' acts as scalar multiplications on M'. Thus, M' is a simple $u(\mathfrak{l}, \chi)$-module. Note that $\chi|_{\mathfrak{l}}$ is nilpotent. □

5.3 Standard Levi form

The study of the simple $u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)$-modules is now reduced to the case where χ is nilpotent. Let $\mathfrak{B}$ be a Borel subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Definition 5.16. *We say that χ has standard Levi form if $\chi(\mathfrak{B}) = 0$ and there is a subset $I \subseteq \Delta_0$ such that*

$$\chi(f_\alpha) \begin{cases} \neq 0 & \text{if } \alpha \in I, \\ = 0 & \text{if } \alpha \in \Phi \setminus I. \end{cases}$$

Proposition 5.17. *If χ is in the standard Levi form, then each $Z^\chi(l)$ has a unique maximal submodule.*

The proof is similar to that of [5, Prop. 10.2].

Each nilpotent p-character is conjugate under G to the standard Levi form. Let χ be in the standard Levi form and let I be the set of simple roots as above. For each $\alpha \in I$, let $l(h_\alpha) = a$. Then since $l(h_\alpha)^p - l(h_\alpha) = 0$, we get $a \in \mathbf{F}_p$. Write $0 \leq a \leq p-1$. Then it is easy to see that $f_\alpha^{a+1} v$ is another maximal vector in $Z^\chi(l)$ with weight $l - (a+1)\alpha$. So we get

$$Z^\chi(l - (a+1)\alpha) \cong Z^\chi(l).$$

Recall ρ defined earlier. We define the dot action of $w \in W$ on H^* by

$$w \cdot l = w(l + \rho) - \rho.$$

If $\alpha \in \Delta_0$ is simple, then we have

$$s_\alpha \cdot l = l - (l(h_\alpha) + 1)\alpha.$$

Denote W_I the subgroup of W generated by the reflections of s_α with $\alpha \in I$. Let $L^\chi(l)$ be the unique simple quotient of $Z^\chi(l)$.

Corollary 5.18. *Let $w \in W_I$, then*

(1)

$$Z^\chi(w \cdot l) \cong Z^\chi(l).$$

(2)

$$L^\chi(w \cdot l) \cong L^\chi(l).$$

REFERENCES

[1] C. W. Curtis and I. Reiner. Representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras. John Wiley & Sons, (1962).

[2] J. Dixmier. Enveloping algebras, *Graduate studies in Math.* **11** *Amer. Math. Soc.*, (1996).

[3] E. M. Friedlander. B. J. Parshall. Modular Representation theory of Lie algebras. *Amer. J. Math.* **110** (1988): 1055-1093.

[4] N. Jacobson. Lie algebras, Dover Publications, Inc. New York,(1962).

[5] J. C. Jantzen. Representations of Lie algebras in prime characteristic. *Proc. Montreal (NATO ASI series C)* **514** (1997).

[6] V. G. Kac. Lie superalgebras. *Adv. Math.* **26** (1977): 8–96.

[7] V. G. Kac. Classification of infinite-dimensional simple linearly compact Lie superalgebras. *Adv. Math.* **139** (1998): 1–55.

[8] V. Kac and B. Weisfeiler. Coadjoint action of a semisimple algebraic group and the center of the enveloping algebra in characteristic p. *Indag. Math.* **38** (1976): 135-151.

[9] Yu. Kochetkov and D. Leites. Simple Lie algebras in characteristic 2 recovered from superalgebras and on the notion of a simple finite group. *Contemp. Math.*, **131**, Part 2. *Amer. Math. Soc.* RI, (1992).

[10] V. M. Petrogradski. Identities in the enveloping algebras for modular Lie superalgebras. *J. Algebra* **145** (1992): 1–21.

[11] A. N. Rudakov. On representations of classical semisimple Lie algebras of characteristic p. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR* Ser. Mat.Tom **34** No.4 (1970).

[12] M. Scheunert. *Theory of Lie superalgebras*, in: Lecture Notes in Math. Vol. 716. Springer-verlag (1979).

[13] H. Strade. *Simple Lie algebras over fields of positive characteristic, I. Structure Theory* Walter de Gruyter. (2004).

[14] H. Strade and R. Farnsteiner. *Modular Lie Algebras and Their Representations*, in: Monogr. Texbooks Pure Appl. Math. Vol. 116. Dekker, Inc. (1988).

[15] B. Weisfeiler and V. Kac. Ireducible representations of Lie p-algebras *Funks. Anal* **5** (1971): 28-36.

[16] Chaowen Zhang. *Simple modules for the restricted Lie superalgebras* $sl(n, 1)$ *J. Pure and applied algebra*, **213**, No. 5, (2009) 756-765.